

Item No: 6.	Classification Open	Committee: Dulwich Community Council	Date: 8 September 2011
From: Head of Development Management		Title of Report: Addendum Late observations, consultation responses, information and revisions.	

PURPOSE

- 1, To advise Members of observations, consultation responses and information/revisions received in respect of the following planning applications on the main agenda. These were received after the preparation of the report and the matters raised may not therefore have been taken in to account in reaching the recommendation stated.

RECOMMENDATION

2. That Members note and consider the late observations, consultation responses and information/revisions received in respect this item in reaching their decision.

FACTORS FOR CONSIDERATION

3. Late observations, consultation responses, information and revisions have been received in respect of the following planning application on the main agenda:

Item 6.1

Site: 21 Gilkes Crescent – 11/AP/1040

The description of the development should delete “..including a raised platform” within the recommendation sheet.

Alterations to the officer report. Paragraph 5 under the heading, “Details of proposal” should include:

‘The proposed extension to the front would measure 2.5m wide 1m deep with a max height of 3 metres. The extension would remain subservient to the original dwelling and would represent a relatively minor alteration to the front of the dwelling. The extension would have a tiled pitched roof with a white render finish to match the existing dwelling.’

Paragraph 28 should read:

‘ There is no objection to the principle of erecting a front and rear extension to this residential property’.

Paragraph 44 second sentence should read:

‘ The rear addition would not be visible from the street...’

Item 6.3**Site: 60 Dulwich Village 10/AP/3755****A further letter has been received from the resident at 64 Dulwich Village**

I have read your report on the proposed alterations/extensions to this property and noted the recommended decision to grant permission. Should the Council grant permission despite all the objections from the immediate neighbours, can we be assured that no further extension will be permitted, particularly the addition of another storey as in the original plans submitted?

Paragraph 7 of the officer report should read as follows:

Length 3.7 from the rear most wall 7.9m.

Paragraph 52 Impact on trees - should refer to a Blue Cedar rather than a fir tree.

Item 6.4**Site: 30 Seeley Drive – 11/AP/1007**

A further letter of objection has been received from 35 Seeley Drive stating the use will attract loitering, rubbish, anti social behaviour as well as disturbance to the residential occupiers above and around the unit through noise and smells.

REASON FOR LATENESS

4. The comments reported above have all been received since the agenda was printed. They all relate to an item on the agenda and Members should be aware of the objections and comments made.

REASON FOR URGENCY

5. Applications are required by statute to be considered as speedily as possible. The application has been publicised as being on the agenda for consideration at this meeting of the Sub-Committee and applicants and objectors have been invited to attend the meeting to make their views known. Deferral would delay the processing of the applications/enforcements and would inconvenience all those who attend the meeting.

RESOURCE IMPLICATIONS

6. These are contained in the report.

EQUAL OPPORTUNITY IMPLICATIONS

7. These are contained in the report.

LOCAL AGENDA 21 (Sustainable Development) IMPLICATIONS

8. These are contained in the report.

Lead Officer: Gary Rice, Head of Development Management

Background Papers: Individual case files.

Located at: Regeneration & Neighbourhoods Department, Council Offices,
Tooley Street, SE1 5LX.